



Carbon Stock Potential of Shilabo Shrubs Land among Soil Texture Somali Region, Eastern Ethiopia

Zemenu Tadesse Ayele* Zawde Tadesse Teshome, Latamo Lameso Lelamo

Department of Natural Resource Management, University of Kebri Dehar, Kebri Dehar, Ethiopia

ABSTRACT

Forests, shrubs and grasslands play an imperative role in climate change mitigation and balancing nature by sequestering and retaining carbon above and below the ground in biomass. The study was conducted to determine the total carbon stock potential of shrub lands in Shilabo district, Somalia, Ethiopia, as well as the implications for climate change mitigation. The study was restricted to the carbon stock potential of the shrub land depending on soil texture for three major carbon pools: Above Ground Biomass (AGB), Below Ground Biomass (BGB) and Soil Organic Carbon (SOC). Using generic allometric equations that are readily available, the biomass of each species of tree and shrub was determined. To gather the necessary and pertinent data for the study region at every 390 m between each sample plot and 700 m between each transect line, sample plots of 20 by 20 m were established using systematic random sampling techniques. Using Breast Height (BH) tape, standing trees with branches and twigs measuring 5 cm or less in Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) were measured on 400 m² of sample plots. The height of the trees was also assessed using a hypsometer. Each of the five 1 x 1 m shrub land subplots, one in the middle and four at the corners of the main plot, had litter samples carefully taken from it. Litter samples from each of the five subplots of the main plot were combined to create a composite sample that weighed about 100 grams. Each of the five 1 by 1 m subplot regions, one at each of the four corners and the main plot's center, had samples of soil organic carbon and bulk density taken at a depth of 30 cm using an auger. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software version 26 was used to estimate and assess the carbon stock of various carbon pools. The findings demonstrated that the below ground and above-ground biomass total mean carbon stocks at the sandy loam and sandy textured soil sites were approximately 507.36 t ha and 297.24 t ha, respectively. An independent sample t-test revealed that the mean difference in carbon pool and carbon dioxide sequestration between sandy loam texture soil (site 1) and sandy texture soil (site 2) was statistically significant. Shrub lands have provided great environmental benefits and services, as well as mitigating climate change impacts. Therefore, any environmental protection agencies, both government and non-government, have to look for and protect this resource.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 13-Apr-2023, Manuscript No. JENVOH-23-95640; Editor assigned: 17-Apr-2023, PreQC No. JENVOH-23-95640 (PQ); Reviewed: 02-May-2023, QC No. JENVOH-23-95640; Revised: 21-Jun-2023, Manuscript No. JENVOH-23-95640 (R); Published: 28-Jun-2023

Keywords:

Carbon dioxide equivalent; Carbon emission; Biomass; Climate change; Sequestration; Mitigation

Introduction

Background of study

Global warming and its subsequent climate change can have major adverse effects on many life forms on earth [1]. Increasing economic development coupled with accelerated urbanization has led to a rapid increase in anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. This has resulted in increased carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere, which have led to rising global temperatures [2]. Land use changes, such as forest clearance for agriculture, settlement, and industrial expansion to the atmosphere over the last 150 years.

Carbon emissions from deforestation and forest degradation are the second largest source of anthropogenic carbon emissions [3]. Historically, the conversion of land use from forests and grasslands to intensive agricultural cropping systems has also contributed to the increase in atmospheric CO₂ [4].

Terrestrial habitats significantly contribute to climate change mitigation by sinking greenhouse gases [5]. Carbon (C) sequestration is considered one of the main cost effective tools (one of the natural climate solutions) to mitigate climate change *via* reducing GHG concentrations in the atmosphere [6].

- Northern research station. 2007;19073-3294.
37. MacDicken KG. A Guide to monitoring carbon storage in forestry and agroforestry projects. Winrock international institute for agricultural development. 1997;1-92.
 38. Liu W, Chen S, Qin X, Baumann F, Scholten T, Zhou Z, et al. Storage, patterns, and control of soil organic carbon and nitrogen in the northeastern margin of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Environ Res Lett* 2012;7:035401.
 39. Solomon N, Pabi O, Annang T, Asante IK, Birhane E. The effects of land cover change on carbon stock dynamics in a dry Afromontane forest in northern Ethiopia. *Carbon Balance Manag* 2018;13:1-3.
 40. Zhang C, Tang Y, Xu X, Kiely G. Towards spatial geochemical modelling: Use of geographically weighted regression for mapping soil organic carbon contents in Ireland. *Applied Geochemistry*. 2011;26:1239-1248.
 41. Chiti T, Diaz-Pines E, Rubio A. Soil organic carbon stocks of conifers, broadleaf and evergreen broadleaf forests of Spain. *Biol Fertil Soils* 2012;48:817-826.
 42. Yoo BI, Kim CS, Jeon JH, Lee HS, Chong SK, Yoon BE, 2014. Modularization of Korea's development experience: Forest resource development in Korea. Korea Forest Service 2013.
 43. Kim S, Kim C, Han SH, Lee ST, Son Y. A multi-site approach toward assessing the effect of thinning on soil carbon contents across temperate pine, oak, and larch forests. *For Ecol Manag* 2018;424:62-70.
 44. Berg B. Litter decomposition and organic matter turnover in northern forest soils. *For Ecol Manag* 2000;133:13-22.
 45. Schulp CJ, Nabuurs GJ, Verburg PH, de Waal RW. Effect of tree species on carbon stocks in forest floor and mineral soil and implications for soil carbon inventories. *For Ecol Manag* 2008;256:482-490.
 46. Biadgigne A, Gobezie T, Mohammed A, Feleke E. Estimation of carbon stock and emission of community forests in Eastern Amhara, Ethiopia. *Asian J For*. 2022;6:74-82.
 47. Augusto L, Boca A. Tree functional traits, forest biomass, and tree species diversity interact with site properties to drive forest soil carbon. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:1097.
 48. Vijayakumar S, Bazrgar AB, Coleman B, Gordon A, Voroney P, Thevathasan N. Carbon stocks in riparian buffer systems at sites differing in soil texture, vegetation type and age compared to adjacent agricultural fields in southern Ontario, Canada. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2020;304:107149.
 49. Kassier HW, 2011. Forest dynamics, growth and Yield: From measurement to model. *South. For J For Sci* 2011;73:63-65.
 50. Kurgat BK, Golicha D, Giese M, Kuria SG, Asch F. Relationship between vegetation cover types and soil organic carbon in the rangelands of Northern Kenya. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 2014;26:1-66.
 51. Liu C, Li X. Carbon storage and sequestration by urban forests in Shenyang, China. *Urban For Urban Green* 2012;11:121-128.
 52. Rajput BS, Bhardwaj DR, Pala NA. Factors influencing biomass and carbon storage potential of different land use systems along an elevational gradient in temperate northwestern Himalaya. *Agroforestry Systems*. 2017;91:479-486.
 53. Solomon N, Birhane E, Tadesse T, Treydte AC, Meles K. Carbon stocks and sequestration potential of dry forests under community management in Tigray, Ethiopia. *Ecol Process* 2017;6:1-1.
 54. Cavanaugh KC, Gosnell JS, Davis SL, Ahumada J, Boundja P, Clark DB, et al. Carbon storage in tropical forests correlates with taxonomic diversity and functional dominance on a global scale. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 2014;23:563-573.
 55. Solomon N, Hishe H, Annang T, Pabi O, Asante IK, Birhane E. Forest cover change, key drivers and community perception in Wujig Mahgo Waren forest of northern Ethiopia. *Land* 2018;7:32.
 56. Chinasho A, Soromessa T, Bayable E. Carbon stock in woody plants of Humbo forest and its variation along altitudinal gradients: the case of Humbo district, Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia. *J Environ Prot* 2015;3:97-103.
 57. Tefera M, Soromessa T. Carbon stock potentials of woody plant species in Biheretsige and central closed public parks of Addis Ababa and its contribution to climate change mitigation. *Carbon*

- 2015;5:1-14.
58. Ayele ZT, Teshome ZT, Lelamo LL. Carbon stock potential of Shilabo Shrubs land among soil texture Somali region, Eastern Ethiopia. *J Springer*. 2023.
59. Wolde BM, Kelbessa E, Soromessa T. Forest carbon stocks in woody plants of Arba Minch ground water forest and its variations along environmental gradients. *J Sci Technol Arts* 2014;3:141-7.
60. Black, C.A. and Black, C.A., 1987. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part II*. American Society of Agronomy.
61. Eggleston S, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K. IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. 2006.
62. FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations). *Forestry for a low carbon future: Integrating forests and wood products in climate change strategies*. FAO. Rome, Italy. 2016;177.
63. FDRE (Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia). *Addis Ababa greenhouse gas emission inventory*. Addis Ababa: FDRE. 2012.
64. Girma A, Soromessa T, Bekele T. Forest carbon stocks in woody plants of Mount Zequalla Monastery and its variation along altitudinal gradient: Implication of managing forests for climate change mitigation. *J Sci Technol Arts Res* 2014;3:132-140.
65. Le Quere C, Raupach MR, Canadell JG, Marland G, Bopp L, Ciais P, et al. Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Nat Geosci* 2009;2:831-836.
66. Li T, Li J, Yang H. Estimate value of soil organic carbon based on remote sensing and process model in Guanzhong-Tianshui Economic Region. *Arid Land Geography*. 2016;39:451-459.
67. Watson RT, Noble IR, Bolin B, Ravindranath NH, Verardo DJ, Dokken DJ. *Land use, land use change and forestry: A special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press. 2000.
68. Walcott JJ, Bruce S, Sims J. *Soil carbon for carbon sequestration and trading: A review of issues for agriculture and forestry*. Canberra: Bureau of Rural Sciences, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry. 2009.